# Resource Family Approval (RFA) - Project Management Team Conference Call / Webinar Meeting Minutes March 7, 2013 · 1:00 – 3:30

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING**

- Review Permanency Assessment of the Resource Family Applicant
- Discuss Stakeholder Participation and Engagement
- Discuss Next Steps

#### **PARTICIPANTS:**

Cathy Ballantine, CDSS
Lauri Lawson, CDSS
Sharon DeRego, CDSS
Kim Wrigley, CDSS
Tammy Rivera, CDSS
Mei Yuk Kung, CDSS
Teresa Owensby, CDSS
Angela Valdez, CDSS
Jane Troglia, CDSS
LuAnne Hightower, CDSS
Denise Cooper, CDSS

### PHONE/WEBINAR

Julie Mann, Santa Barbara
Stephanie Diaz, Santa Barbara
Leticia Alvarez, Santa Barbara
Amy Krueger, Santa Barbara
Tracy Bowers, Santa Clara
Kathleen Miles, Santa Clara
Annette Stahlnecker, Santa Clara
Ruben Moreno, Santa Clara
Patricia Avila, Santa Clara
Rhoda Cheung, Santa Clara
Linda Belch, San Luis Obispo
David Platt, San Luis Obispo

Patrick Considine, San Luis Obispo Kate Cleary, Consortium for Children Kelly Cleary, Consortium for Children Sophia Isom, San Francisco Rosa Hernandez, San Francisco Tina Garcia, Kings Shelly Verboon, Kings Christopher Castillo, Kings Lila Hollman, CDSS Rosalind Hyde, CDSS

## MEETING MINUTES FROM 3/7/13 CONFERENCE CALL MEETING

#### 1. Introductions:

- Yuba County has withdrawn from the RFA project.
- Kings County has joined the RFA project.

#### 2. Question/Concerns Since the Last Meeting:

- None expressed.
- Reviewed what material we have looked at as a group.
  - Foster Family Home regulations articles 1-5

#### 3. Review of Permanency Assessment of the Resource Family Applicant

- Reviewed section A1-18 and identified changes to be made (please review attached document)
- The following were identified as areas that need further discussion:
  - > #1 Whether interviews/contact with applicants should all occur in the home.
  - ➤ #10 regarding the health questionnaire.

## Resource Family Approval (RFA) - Project Management Team Conference Call / Webinar Meeting Minutes March 7, 2013 · 1:00 – 3:30

- ❖ What forms to use, examples given were AD524 (a state form) and licensing's health screening report.
- **.** Costs should be considered.
- Mental health is not included. Suggested that mental health be addressed in a separate section.
- ➤ #14 Information from additional sources as necessary with appropriate authorization.
  - ❖ What if someone refuses to sign a release?
  - ❖ San Francisco requested further discussion.
  - ❖ The adoption regulation can be found in section 35181 b4
- ➤ #15 Considerations of the following factors for the resource family applicant, as well as any children or other adults residing in the home.
  - ❖ E & G Currently different standards are in place for a relative caregiver than for an adoptive or foster family.
  - ❖ I How will this be addressed with the different placements, foster, adoption and relative family?
- ➤ #17 If the resource family applicant is a birth parent of a child which he/she desires to adopt, consider: Whether the conditions which led to the child's removal from the parent still exist.
  - ❖ San Francisco suggested this be reworded or moved somewhere else.
  - Does it need to be here?

### Next Conference Call/Webinar Scheduled For: April 18, 2013

• Call-in information to be emailed out in advance